

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/778,672	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHING-HSAING ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Q. Janice Li, M.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1633	

**All Participants:**

(1) Q. Janice Li, PTO.

(2) Jianming Hao, Appl. Rep.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 5 December 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

24,33,36,39,41,43,44,45

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner pointed out the claimed subject matter is considered allowable upon a new search and consideration, contingent upon correction of issues under 35 USC 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph. Applicant's representative agreed to the proposed amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Q. JANICE LI, M.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)